Effect of solute nature on the polyamorphic transition in glassy polyol aqueous solutions
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I examined the polyamorphic behavior of glassy dilute aqueous solutions of polyols (ethylene glycol, glycerol, meso-erythritol, xylitol, and D-sorbitol) under pressure at low temperatures. Although the volume change of the glassy aqueous solution varied continuously against pressure, the rate of the volume change appeared to vary discontinuously at the onset pressure of the gradual polyamorphic transition. It is thought that low-density liquid-like solvent water and high-density liquid-like solvent water coexist during the transition. Moreover, the existence of a solute induces the shift of polyamorphic transition to the lower-pressure side. The effect of a solute on the polyamorphic transition becomes larger in the order ethylene glycol, glycerol, meso-erythritol, xylitol, and D-sorbitol. Therefore, the solute can become a variable controlling the polyamorphic state of liquid water. This experimental result suggests that the metastable-equilibrium phase boundary between the low-density and the high-density amorphs for pure water is likely to be located at 0.22–0.23 GPa at about 150 K, which is slightly larger than the previously estimated pressure. Moreover, the solute-nature dependence on the polyamorphic transition seems to connect to that on the homogeneous nucleation temperature of polyol aqueous solution at ambient pressure. The region in which a low-density liquid appears coincides with the region in which the nucleus of ice Ih appears, suggesting that the formation of a low-density liquid is a precursory phenomenon of the nucleation of ice Ih. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4998201]

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies of the amorphous ices of pure water and supercooled liquid water suggest that two kinds of liquid water, low-density liquid (LDL) and high-density liquid (HDL), exist at low temperatures and a liquid-liquid critical point (LLCP) relating to the two kinds of water exists.1–3 The computer simulation studies using ST2 and TIP4P/2005 water potential models have proven that the LDL and HDL coexist and that the LLCP exists.4–9 Therefore, the LLCP hypothesis is not an absurd story. In the experimental studies, it is practically difficult to probe the location of the LLCP directly because of the crystallization.10 It is thought that the virtual LLCP is experimentally undetectable.11 On this account, the validity of LLCP hypothesis is still actively discussed. However, the accumulated experimental results for the two glassy waters (low-density amorph, LDA, and high-density amorph, HDA, which are corresponding to LDL and HDL, respectively) and for supercooled liquid water around the LLCP are consistent with the LLCP hypothesis of water.3,12 The experimental facts13–16 suggest indirectly that the LLCP hypothesis is valid. Therefore, assuming the validity of the LLCP hypothesis in this paper, I will discuss about the polyamorphic behavior of aqueous solutions.

In the present state, it is inferred that the location of the LLCP for H2O will be around 221–225 K and 0.02–0.05 GPa.17,18 And then, the fluctuation between LDL and HDL generated around the LLCP will affect the behavior of liquid water at ambient pressure at room temperature.19 When high-temperature liquid water at ambient pressure that is categorized in the HDL group is cooled, it continuously transfers to liquid water of the LDL-like state across the Widom line.14,16 This crossover between the HDL-like state and the LDL-like state may cause the anomalous behavior of low-temperature liquid water, for example, the maximum density of liquid water at 277 K and so on.1,5

It is reasonable to conceive that the fluctuation between two states of liquid water around the LLCP affects the behavior of not only pure water but also aqueous solutions under ambient condition. Previously, we have reconsidered the state of solvent water in the glassy dilute lithium chloride (LiCl) aqueous solution20–22 and the glassy dilute glycerol aqueous solution2,23 from a viewpoint of the LLCP hypothesis and discussed their polyamorphic behavior such as a polyamorphic transition and a nanosegregation of aqueous solutions. These experimental results prove that the existence of a solute affects the polyamorphic transition of solvent water.22,23 On the other hand, we have demonstrated that the polyamorphic change of solvent water in the glassy glycerol aqueous solution induces the obvious change of the molecular vibration modes of a glycerol molecule.12 Kim et al. have also reported that the polyamorphic change of solvent water confined in the crystalline protein induces the change of the high-order structure of the protein.24 These experimental results suggest a possibility that the polyamorphic fluctuation of water relates to the
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structural stability and dynamics of the solute molecule.\textsuperscript{12,24–27} Therefore, it is significant to understand the relationship between the solute molecule and the polyamorphic state of water near the solute molecule. However, there are a few studies tackling the problem of basic relationships between the simple solute molecule and two liquid waters, such as the effect of the existence of a solute on the polyamorphic behavior of pure water, the interactions between the solute and the LDL (or HDL), the structural similarity between interfacial water of the solute and the LDL (or HDL), and so on.\textsuperscript{12,22,23,28–38} In particular, there are few “high-pressure” studies of the polyamorphic behavior of aqueous solutions\textsuperscript{22,23,28,30,31,33,39–41} even though the difference between two polyamorphic states of solvent water appears more clearly under pressure.

Previously, we have experimentally examined the polyamorphic behavior of solvent water in the glassy dilute glycerol aqueous solution under pressure.\textsuperscript{23} We have demonstrated that the stepwise volume change of the glassy aqueous solution occurs reversibly by the change in pressure at low temperatures. The Raman spectroscopic study has shown that the state of solvent water in the high-density glassy sample resembles the state of HDA and the state of solvent water in the low-density glassy sample resembles the state of LDA. This suggests that the stepwise volume change of the dilute glassy glycerol aqueous solution relates closely to the HDA-LDA transition of pure water. This experimental result has been supported by the computer simulation study performed by Jahn et al.\textsuperscript{38} Moreover, the polyamorphic transition of the glassy glycerol aqueous solution shifted toward the lower-pressure side with the increase in glycerol concentration. A coexistent region of LDL-like and HDL-like solvent waters seemed to appear during the polyamorphic transition. The appearance of the coexistent region is consistent with the recent theoretical studies which suggested that an equilibrium coexistent region appears between the low-density and the high-density states in an aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{42,43} A similar appearance of the metastable-equilibrium coexistent region has been observed for the glassy dilute LiCl aqueous solutions,\textsuperscript{22} although the size of the metastable-equilibrium coexistent region is considerably different from that for the glassy glycerol aqueous solution. The size and location of the equilibrium coexistent region may depend on the solute nature and the concentration.\textsuperscript{42} We conjecture that the appearance of the metastable-equilibrium coexistent region relates to the difference between the solute-LDL interaction and the solute-HDL interaction. However, the interactions between the solute and two waters do not yet clarify.

In this study, in order to reconfirm the previous experimental results of the polyamorphic behavior of glycerol-water solutions\textsuperscript{23} and in order to understand the effect of solute nature on the polyamorphic behavior of aqueous solutions, I examined the polyamorphic behavior of the glassy aqueous solution of various polyol substances (ethylene glycol, glycerol, \textit{meso}-erythritol, xylitol, and \textit{D}-sorbitol) under pressure. These polyol solutes are simple organic molecules with the OH groups and are the suitable solute molecules for studying systematically the effect of molecular size and the effect of the hydrogen bonding interaction between the solute and interfacial water on the polyamorphic behavior of solvent water. Therefore, the basic knowledge acquired from the study on the polyamorphic behavior of the polyol aqueous solution contributes to the development of an understanding of the relationship between the solute and solvent water.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The high-pressure vitrification method of dilute aqueous solutions and the experimental method for the measurement of polyamorphic transition under pressure in this study are almost the same as those in the previous study for the glycerol aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{23}

A. Sample

The polyol substances used as a solute in this study are ethylene glycol (EG), glycerol (GL), \textit{meso}-erythritol (ER), xylitol (XY), and \textit{D}-sorbitol (SO). The number of OH groups and the number of carbons in the polyol molecule increment in the order EG\textsubscript{2}, GL\textsubscript{3}, ER\textsubscript{4}, XY\textsubscript{5}, SO\textsubscript{6}, where the subscript stands for the number of OH groups or carbon atoms (see molecular structures in Fig. 1).

The polyol solute was mixed with high-purity H\textsubscript{2}O (Millipore: Direct-Q UV). The solute concentration, \(x\), of EG\textsubscript{2}, GL\textsubscript{3}, ER\textsubscript{4}, XY\textsubscript{5}, and SO\textsubscript{6} aqueous solutions are in the range of 0.03–0.08, 0.02–0.05, 0.02–0.05, 0.02–0.05, and 0.02–0.05, respectively, where \(x\) is the ratio of solute molar number to the sum of solute molar number and water molar number. In order to hinder the crystallization of dilute aqueous solutions, polyol aqueous solutions were emulsified. The emulsion sample was made by high-speed blending 1 g of sample solution and a matrix (0.75 g of methylcyclohexane, 0.75 g of methylcyclopentane, and 10 mg of sorbitan tristearate) for 1 min using a homogenizer (OMNI International: Omni TH) with 30 000 rpm. The emulsion size is 1–10 \(\mu\)m in diameter. In order to compare the present experimental results with the previous result of the GL\textsubscript{3} aqueous solution, the same emulsification procedure was used. The influence of emulsification procedure on the polyamorphic behavior of pure water and aqueous solutions has been reported by Hauptmann et al.\textsuperscript{44}

![FIG. 1. Structural formula of polyol used in this study. (a) Ethylene glycol (EG\textsubscript{2}). (b) Glycerol (GL\textsubscript{3}). (c) \textit{Meso}-erythritol (ER\textsubscript{4}). (d) Xylitol (XY\textsubscript{5}). (e) \textit{D}-sorbitol (SO\textsubscript{6}).](image-url)
According to their report, the emulsification procedure in this study little influences the freezing and transition of aqueous solutions.

B. Pressure device for high-pressure experiment

A piston-cylinder apparatus and an indium container were used for the high-pressure experiment. The cross section of the piston-cylinder apparatus with the indium container is illustrated in Fig. 2.

The cylinder temperature, \( T_{cy} \), was measured by an alumel-chromel thermocouple attached on the cylinder (thermocouple 1 in Fig. 2) and was controlled by the balance of the attached heater and the atmosphere of cold nitrogen gas.

In order to measure the change in the sample temperature and the relative change in the sample volume simultaneously with the change in pressure, a special indium container with an alumel-chromel thermocouple (thermocouple 2 in Fig. 2) was used. The thermocouple was placed inside the sample. It is experimentally confirmed that the presence of thermocouple hardly influences the polyamorphic behavior of the sample or the crystallization of the sample.

C. Preparation of high-density glassy sample

In general, when the dilute aqueous solution is cooled at 1 atm, a part of solvent water crystallizes to ice \( \text{I}_\text{h} \) and then the segregation to the water-rich crystalline part and the solute-rich glassy part occurs. In order to examine the polyamorphic behavior of dilute aqueous solutions, it is necessary to prepare the homogeneous glassy sample in which the solute molecules disperse homogeneously. Accordingly, I cooled the dilute aqueous solution under high pressure\(^{45,46}\) and made a homogeneous high-density glassy sample consisting of the HDA-like solvent water as the starting sample.\(^{21–23}\)

About 1.5 ml of the emulsified sample was sealed in the indium container at 1 atm, compressed to 0.3 GPa at room temperature by using the piston-cylinder apparatus, and then cooled to 77 K at a cooling rate of \( \sim 40 \) K/min as shown in Fig. 3. I measured the change in the sample temperature during the cooling process and verified there was no occurrence of an exothermic event relating to the crystallization of solvent water. Previously, we have checked using Raman spectroscopy that the sample made by the high-pressure cooling process without the exothermic event contains no crystalline parts.\(^{23}\)

In this study, the EG\(_2\) aqueous solution below \( x = 0.02 \) and the GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions below \( x = 0.01 \) crystallized. The check of the crystallization of the sample using the X-ray diffraction method is desirable, but not done in this study.

D. Measurement method of the polyamorphic transition

A typical experimental protocol of this study is shown in Fig. 3. The high-density glassy sample made by cooling at 0.3 GPa was further compressed to 0.6 GPa at 77 K and the piston-cylinder with the sample was heated to a given \( T_{cy} \). The sample is decompressed from 0.6 to 0.01 GPa while maintaining at \( T_{cy} \), kept at 0.01 GPa for 2 min and then compressed to 0.6 GPa at \( T_{cy} \). The decompression/compression rate may affect the experimental results of the polyamorphic behavior such as transition pressure. Therefore, the decompression/compression rate was fixed at \( \sim 1.6 \) MPa/s throughout the measurement. During the decompression and compression processes, the change in the piston displacement, \( d \), and the change in the sample temperature, \( T_{smp} \), were measured simultaneously. The effect of the change in \( T_{smp} \) on \( T_{cy} \) is little because the amount of sample is remarkably less than that of
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**FIG. 2.** The cross sections of a piston-cylinder pressure device and of an indium container with a thermocouple for the measurement of sample temperature under pressure. Thermocouples 1 and 2 are for the measurements of the cylinder temperature and of the sample temperature, respectively.

**FIG. 3.** Temperature-pressure steps for the preparation of the glassy high-density sample and for the measurement of polyamorphic transition.
the piston-cylinder. The change of \( d \) corresponds to the relative change of sample volume.

The high-pressure measurement of polyamorphic transition was performed in the \( T_{cy} \) range from 130 to 160 K. \( T_{cy} \) was increased from the lower temperature and the interval of \( T_{cy} \) is \( \sim 2 \) K as shown in Fig. 3. The glassy sample crystallized during or after the polyamorphic transition from high- to low-density state in the decompression process at higher \( T_{cy} \). For example, the glassy EG\(_2\) aqueous solution of \( x = 0.03 \) crystallized after the polyamorphic transition in the decompression process at \( T_{cy} = \sim 148 \) K. I judged the occurrence of the crystallization from an extremely large increase of \( T_{smp} \) because the heat generation caused by the crystallization is larger than that due to polyamorphic transition.\(^{31}\) \( T_{cy} \) at which the crystallization of the glassy sample occurs depends on the solute nature and the solute concentration.

The error of pressure, caused by the friction between the piston and cylinder, was corrected by using the compression and decompression curves of a indium container that were obtained in the same experimental condition. The detailed information relating to the correction of pressure is described in Ref. 23.

E. Measurement of homogeneous nucleation temperature

In order to discuss the relation between the homogeneous nucleation and the polyamorphic transition of polyol aqueous solutions, I measured the homogeneous nucleation temperature, \( T_H \), of the emulsified EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions at 1 atm. About 10 \( \mu l \) of the emulsified polyol aqueous solution was cooled from 300 to 77 K at a cooling rate of \( \sim 10 \) K/s and the change of the sample temperature during cooling was measured using a tiny alumel-chromel thermocouple. As shown in the inset graph of Fig. 4, \( T_H \) was determined from the exothermic event due to the crystallization of ice Ih. \( T_H \) of the EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions at 1 atm are plotted as the function of \( x \) in Fig. 4.

In general, \( T_H \) depends on the cooling rate. The values of \( T_H \) for EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), and XY\(_5\) aqueous solutions measured in this study are slightly smaller than the results of Kanno et al.\(^{47}\) (Fig. 4) because of the faster cooling rate in this study. \( T_H \) becomes lower with the increase in solute concentration, and then when the solute concentration reaches \( x_g \), the aqueous solution does not crystallize and becomes homogeneous glass. \( x_g \) as well as \( T_H \) depends on the cooling rate. In the case of this study, the values of \( x_g \) for the EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions are \( \sim 0.16, \sim 0.13, \sim 0.11, \) and \( \sim 0.09 \), respectively.

The ER\(_4\) aqueous solution of \( x > 0.054 \) is saturated at room temperature. Therefore, \( T_H \) of the ER\(_4\) aqueous solution below \( x = 0.05 \) is shown in Fig. 4. As the saturated ER\(_4\) aqueous solution still crystallized at low temperatures, \( x_g \) of the ER\(_4\) aqueous solution is unidentified in this study.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Determination of polyamorphic transition pressure

The change in \( d \) and the change in \( T_{smp} \), for the glassy EG\(_2\) aqueous solution of \( x = 0.03 \) during the decompression and the compression processes at \( T_{cy} = \sim 140 \) K are shown in Fig. 5. Both the polyamorphic transitions from high-density to low-density state and from low-density to high-density state are observed as the exothermic event. This is because the HDA (or HDA) is overdriven into the HDA (or LDA) region as discussed by Whalley et al.\(^{48}\) and by Mishima.\(^{49}\)

First, I discuss the detailed behavior of the high-density sample during the decompression process [Fig. 5(a)]. In the initial stage from 0.6 to 0.4 GPa [an asterisk part in Fig. 5(a)], the lowering of \( T_{smp} \) caused by the quasi-adiabatic volume expansion of the sample was observed. This change of \( T_{smp} \) is observed when the rate of decomposition (or compression) speed changes and the volume of the sample abruptly changes. Especially, the change of \( T_{smp} \) occurs in the initial part of the decompression or compression process. \( T_{smp} \) was settled down around 0.4 GPa because of the steady volume change of the sample and the heat balance between the sample and the cylinder. When the pressure is decreased further, a small endothermic event was observed around 0.15 GPa [a point G in Fig. 5(a)] and the slope of the volume change becomes slightly larger at the same time as shown in Fig. 5(b). This indicates that the high-density sample transits from the glassy state to the liquid state. A similar glass-to-liquid transition has been observed for the HDA during isothermal decomposition\(^{29}\) and during isobaric heating\(^{30}\) and for the high-density glassy aqueous solutions during isothermal decomposition\(^{25,31}\) and during isobaric heating.\(^{41}\) When the pressure is decreased further, the sample volume increased suddenly around 0.08 GPa and \( T_{smp} \) pose a little later. This indicates the polyamorphic transition from the high-density to the low-density sample. We have conjectured recently that the delay of the exothermic

![FIG. 4. The homogeneous nucleation temperature, \( T_H \), of polyol aqueous solutions at 1 atm. Filled red circles, open blue circles, filled green squares, open brown squares, and filled black triangles are \( T_H \) of the EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions, respectively. As shown in the inset graph, \( T_H \) was determined from the exothermic event due to the crystallization. Red, blue, and brown solid curves represent \( T_H \) of the EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), and XY\(_4\) aqueous solutions which are measured by Kanno et al. (Ref. 47). The values of \( x_g \) for the EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions are showed by red, blue, brown, and black vertical broken lines, respectively.](image)
The exothermic event finishes. The relation between the change in the sample to the high-density sample. The exothermic event
0.32 GPa. This indicates the polyamorphic transition from the
0.01 to 
The change in \( d \) and the change in
onset and the offset pressures of the polyamorphic transition from high- to
or the low-density sample at the lower pressures. Points A and B represent the
and offset pressures of the polyamorphic transition from high- to
Figure 5. The change in the piston displacement, \( d \), and the change in the sample
temperature, \( T_{\text{smp}} \), during (a) the decompression and (c) the compression
processes for the EG\(_2\) aqueous solution of \( x = 0.03 \) at \( T_c \approx 140 \) K. The change in \( d \) and the change in \( T_{\text{smp}} \), are shown in the upper and the lower
panels, respectively. (a) A black broken curve in the upper panel shows the
change in \( d \) for the high-density sample at \( T_c \approx 130 \) K which does not transit to
the low-density sample at the lower pressures. Points A and B represent the
onset and the offset pressures of the polyamorphic transition from high- to
low-density state, respectively. Point G represents a glass-to-liquid transition of
high-density sample. (b) The change in \( d \) and the change in \( T_{\text{smp}} \) during the
decompression process are expanded in the range of 0.0–0.3 GPa. (c) Points
C and D represent the onset and the offset pressures of the polyamorphic transition from low- to high-density state, respectively.

event is caused by the endothermic event due to the sudden increase of sample volume.\(^{23,31}\) The exothermic event finishes around 0.02 GPa, indicating that the polyamorphic transition is completed.

Next, when the low-density sample is compressed at the
same \( T_c \approx 140 \) K, the rise of \( T_{\text{smp}} \) caused by quasi-adiabatic compression is observed in the pressure range from 0.01 to 
\~0.1 GPa [an asterisk part in Fig. 5(c)]. When the pressure is increased further, the stepwise decrease in the sample volume and the large increase in \( T_{\text{smp}} \) occur simultaneously around 0.32 GPa. This indicates the polyamorphic transition from the
low-density to the high-density sample. The exothermic event finishes around 0.35 GPa and then the polyamorphic transition finishes. The relation between the change in the sample volume and the change in \( T_{\text{smp}} \) observed during the polyamorphic transition is consistent with the previous experimental result for the glycerol-water system.\(^{23}\)

In this study, I determined the pressures of the polyamorphic transition, \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) and \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \), from the conspicuous change of \( T_{\text{smp}} \) as shown by an A-B region and a C-D region in Fig. 5, where \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) and \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \) are the pressure of polyamorphic transition from the low-density to the high-density sample during the compression process and the pressure of polyamorphic transition from the high-density to the low-density state during the decompression process, respectively. The real onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \), is the pressure at which \( d \) starts increasing. The onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \) estimated from the change of \( T_{\text{smp}} \) is smaller than the real onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \). However, the change of \( d \) at the polyamorphic transition is vaguer than the change of \( T_{\text{smp}} \) and it is difficult to estimate the accurate value of the onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \) from the change of \( d \). Therefore, in this study I adopted the value of the onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \) estimated from the change of \( T_{\text{smp}} \).

B. Temperature and solute-concentration dependences on the polyamorphic transition of glassy polyol aqueous solutions

The temperature and the solute-concentration dependences on the polyamorphic transition for the glassy EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions are shown in Figs. 6–10. The changes in \( T_{\text{smp}} \) during the compression and the decompression processes are represented by red and blue curves, respectively. The filled circles, open circles, filled squares, and open squares stand for onset \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \), offset \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \), onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \), and offset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \), respectively.

The peak of heat generation due to the polyamorphic transition becomes smaller with the increase of the solute concentration. Therefore, the estimation of \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) for the high concentration sample becomes difficult, for example, the EG\(_2\) sample of \( x = 0.08 \), the GL\(_3\) sample of \( x = 0.05 \), the ER\(_4\) sample of \( x = 0.05 \), the XY\(_5\) sample of \( x = 0.05 \), and the SO\(_6\) samples of \( x = 0.04 \). The error of these \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) may be large though I carefully identified the value of \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) by expanding these \( T_{\text{smp}} \) peaks. I could not identify the value of \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) for the SO\(_6\) samples of \( x = 0.05 \) because of the too unclear peak of heat generation.

The light-red belt between the onset and the offset \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) and the light-blue belt between the onset and the offset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \) in Figs. 6–10 indicate the pressure regions in which the LDL-like and the HDL-like domain.\(^{42,43}\) In the previous study on glycerol aqueous solutions, we have inferred that LDL-like and HDL-like solvent water coexist during the polyamorphic transition. There are theoretical studies that the existence of a solute induces the coexistence of the LDL-like and the HDL-like domain.\(^{23}\) In the polyamorphic transition for each polyol aqueous solution, as the temperature increases, \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) decreases, \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \) increases, and the width of the hysteresis of polyamorphic transition, which is a difference between the onset \( P_{\text{LtoH}} \) and the onset \( P_{\text{HtoL}} \), becomes narrower. Such tendency of the temperature dependence on the polyamorphic transition is
FIG. 6. Temperature dependence on the polyamorphic transition for EG\(_2\) aqueous solutions of \(x = 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, \) and 0.08. The changes in \(T_{\text{mp}}\) during the compression and the decompression processes are drawn by red and blue curves, respectively. The filled circles, open circles, filled squares, and open squares stand for onset \(P_{L\to H}\), offset \(P_{L\to H}\), onset \(P_{H\to L}\), and offset \(P_{H\to L}\), respectively.

analogous to that for the GL\(_3\) aqueous solution in the previous study.\(^{23}\)

In addition, as the solute concentration increases, the polyamorphic transition shifts toward the lower-pressure side and the width of the light-red belt and the width of the light-blue belt become larger. This tendency of the solute-concentration dependence on the polyamorphic transition is consistent with the previous result for the GL\(_3\) aqueous solution.\(^{23}\)

I confirmed that the polyamorphic transition of solvent water occurs in the glassy aqueous solution of not only GL\(_3\) but also other polyol solutes. I concluded that the effect of polyol solutes on the polyamorphic behavior is similar.

FIG. 7. Temperature dependence on the polyamorphic transition for GL\(_3\) aqueous solutions of \(x = 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, \) and 0.05. The changes in \(T_{\text{mp}}\) during the compression and the decompression processes are drawn by red and blue curves, respectively. The filled circles, open circles, filled squares, and open squares stand for onset \(P_{L\to H}\), offset \(P_{L\to H}\), onset \(P_{H\to L}\), and offset \(P_{H\to L}\), respectively.
C. The solute-nature dependence on the polyamorphic transition of glassy polyol aqueous solutions

In order to examine the solute-nature dependence on the polyamorphic behavior, I compare the polyamorphic transitions for each glassy aqueous solution of $x = 0.03$ in Fig. 11. It is found that the polyamorphic transition shifts toward the lower-pressure side in the order EG$_2$, GL$_3$, ER$_4$, XY$_5$, and SO$_6$.

In order to discuss the effect of solute nature on the polyamorphic transition more quantitatively, I introduce a new parameter, $P_{ecr}$, which characterizes the location of the equilibrium coexistent region of the low-density and the high-density states. The equilibrium coexistent region...
corresponds to a coexistent line of the LDA and HDA for pure water. I suppose that the equilibrium coexistent region will lie somewhere between $P_{L\rightarrow H}$ and $P_{H\rightarrow L}$ because the polyamorphic transition in this study occurs under a non-equilibrium condition.

Here, I defined $P_{ecr}$ as the middle point between $P_{L\rightarrow H}$ and $P_{H\rightarrow L}$, as shown in Fig. 12. The $P_{ecr}$ line in the range between 135 and 145 K is almost vertical to the pressure axis. This is consistent with the suggestion that the liquid-liquid transition (LLT) line for pure water below $\sim 160$ K is almost vertical to the pressure axis. $P_{ecr}$ averaged in the range of 135 and 145 K, $\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$, was plotted as the function of the solute concentration in Fig. 13(a).

$\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$ for each glassy polyol aqueous solution shifts toward the lower-pressure side with the increase in solute concentration and $\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$ for each glassy polyol aqueous solution with the same solute concentration becomes smaller in the order EG$_2$, GL$_3$, ER$_4$, XY$_5$, and SO$_6$. This indicates that the effect of the solute on the polyamorphic behavior of solvent water becomes larger in the order EG$_2$, GL$_3$, ER$_4$, XY$_5$, and SO$_6$. Moreover, the value of $\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$ extrapolated to $x = 0$ is 0.22–0.23 GPa and is slightly larger than the pressure of the LDA-HDA transition for pure water below 160 K proposed by Mishima, that is, $\sim 0.20$ GPa.

Next, in order to discuss the effect of the number of OH groups (or CH part) in a solute molecule on the polyamorphic transition, $\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$ is plotted as the function of the concentration normalized by the number of OH groups or the number of carbons.

The normalized $x$ corresponds to the concentration of the OH group (or CH part) of the aqueous solution. The dependence of the solute nature on $\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$ shown in Fig. 13(b) becomes smaller than the result shown in Fig. 13(a). This suggests that the presence of the OH group (or CH part) influences the shift of the polyamorphic transition of solvent water. On the other hand, $\langle P_{ecr} \rangle$ in Fig. 13(b) seems to depend slightly on the solute nature in the order EG$_2$, GL$_3$, ER$_4$, XY$_5$, and SO$_6$. Probably, the structure of polyol molecules, for example, the molecular size, the topological symmetry of molecules,
the molecular flexibility, the geometry of OH groups, and so on, may affect the polyamorphic transition of solvent water. However, it is not clear which factor of the solute contributes effectively to the polyamorphic behavior of polyol aqueous solutions. It is necessary to clarify this issue in future. In particular, I think that it is important to study the effects of the presence of the OH group in the solute on the polyamorphic behavior of solvent water because the OH groups of the solute strongly affect the formation of the hydrogen-bonding network of water.

This result that the polyamorphic behavior of solvent water depends on the polyol nature implies that the polyamorphic state of water can be artificially controlled by changing the solute nature and the solute concentration. In other words, the solute as well as pressure, temperature, and confinement can become an external field inducing the polyamorphic change of water.

**D. Relation between the nucleation of ice Ih and \( \langle P_{ecr} \rangle \)**

In this section, I will discuss about a relation between the nucleation of crystalline ice Ih in the polyol aqueous solution and the polyamorphic transition of the glassy polyol aqueous solution. As shown in Fig. 4, \( x_g \) of the polyol aqueous solutions at 1 atm become smaller in the order EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\). This order of \( x_g \) coincides with the magnitude relation of \( \langle P_{ecr} \rangle \) for the glassy EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\) aqueous solutions of the same solute concentration. As shown in Fig. 13(a), when the \( \langle P_{ecr} \rangle \) curve extrapolates to 1 atm, the intersection of each \( \langle P_{ecr} \rangle \) curve and the \( x \) axis seems to be located near the corresponding \( x_g \). This suggests that the nucleation of ice Ih and the polyamorphic behavior of solvent water in the aqueous solution are closely connected with each other.

Assuming that the curvature of the metastable-equilibrium coexistent region on the \( P-x \) plane is similar to the curvature of the \( \langle P_{ecr} \rangle \) curve, the metastable-equilibrium coexistent regions of polyol aqueous solutions may be schematically drawn such as the colored regions in Fig. 14. However, it is difficult to draw the correct figure of the metastable-equilibrium coexistent region from the experimental information in this study.

The relationship between the equilibrium coexistent region in the aqueous solutions and the corresponding \( T_H \) curve indicates that the region in which LDL-like solvent water appears agrees with the region in which the nucleation of ice Ih occurs. This suggests that the nucleus of ice Ih is directly created from the LDL and not from the HDL. In other words, the formation of the LDL may be a precursor phenomenon of the nucleation of ice Ih. This suggestion is consistent with the results of the MD simulation studies relating to the crystallization of Ih that the nucleus of ice Ih appears in the LDL region.\(^8,36,51,52\)

In the past experimental studies, there were some discussions about the relation between the polyamorphic transition and the homogeneous nucleation of ice Ih.\(^2,23,30\) It is thought that the LLT curve of pure water in the \( P-T \) plane is parallel to the \( T_H \) curve of pure water and rather the two curves almost overlap.\(^2,30\) Similarly, the curvature of the metastable-equilibrium coexistent region of LDL-like and HDL-like solvent water in the glassy LiCl aqueous solution is roughly parallel to the curvature of the \( T_H \) curve of the LiCl aqueous solution at 1 atm.\(^22,30,31\) We have suggested that the LLCP of the glassy GL\(_3\) aqueous solution at 150 K is located around \( x = 0.12 \) around \( -50 \) MPa and its Widom line runs near \( x_g \) of the GL\(_3\) aqueous solution at 1 atm.\(^23\) Moreover, we have inferred that the change in the LLCP of the GL\(_3\) aqueous solution with the change in the solute concentration seems to run parallel to the \( T_H \) curve of the GL\(_3\) aqueous solution at 1 atm.\(^23\) These experimental findings suggest that the polyamorphic fluctuation between two liquid waters affects the homogeneous nucleation of ice Ih and support the present conclusion strongly.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

I examined the polyamorphic transition of solvent water in the glassy dilute aqueous solution of various polyol solutes (EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\)) under pressure and discussed the temperature, the solute-concentration, and the solute-nature dependences on the polyamorphic transition. I confirmed that solvent water in the polyol aqueous solutions underwent the polyamorphic transition related to the water polyamorphism. As the solute concentration increases, the polyamorphic transition shifts toward the lower-pressure side. Moreover, it is found that the shift of the polyamorphic transition becomes larger in the order EG\(_2\), GL\(_3\), ER\(_4\), XY\(_5\), and SO\(_6\). This indicates that the polyamorphic state of water is able to be controlled by varying the solute concentration and the solute nature. This suggests that the dynamic fluctuation of solute concentration induces the dynamic fluctuation between the LDL-like and the HDL-like state of solvent water. In particular, the polyamorphic fluctuation of solvent water may play the important roles for the self-aggregation of macromolecules, such as a coil-globule transition of a polymer and a folding/unfolding of a protein, because the local density in a single macromolecule fluctuates during the transformation process.

![Fig. 14. A schematic polyamorphic \( P-T-x \) phase diagram of polyol aqueous solutions. The coexistent regions drawn on the \( P-x \) plane are speculation.](image-url)
I demonstrated that the solute-nature dependence on the polyamorphic transition of the glassy polyol aqueous solution relates closely to that on $T_H$ of the polyol aqueous solution at 1 atm, indicating that the region of LDL-like solvent water accords roughly with the region in which the nucleation of ice Ih occurs. This suggests that the nucleation of ice Ih occurs via the LDL-like state. The kinetic nucleation of ice Ih may connect closely to the thermodynamic fluctuation between the LDL and HDL derived from the LLCP and LTT. This speculation suggests that the well-known phenomena of low-temperature aqueous solutions, for example, the freezing point depression, the glass forming, the segregation, and so on, relate to the polyamorphic fluctuation of solvent water.
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